



Analysis of the Relationship Between Cost and Time in the Implementation of Construction Projects (Literature Review)

Robi Ulsani^{1*}, Rezi Munizar²

¹⁻² Universitas Ratu Samban, Indonesia
email: robiulsani@gmail.com¹

Article Info :

Received:
01-06-2026
Revised:
09-06-2026
Accepted:
16-06-2026

Abstract

Cost and time are two fundamental indicators of construction project success alongside quality. These dimensions exhibit a trade-off relationship in which project acceleration generally increases direct costs, whereas schedule delays tend to increase indirect costs and reduce overall project efficiency. This study aimed to examine, through a literature review, the conceptual relationship between cost and time in construction project implementation, the analytical methods commonly applied to evaluate this relationship, and the key factors influencing cost–time performance. The study employed a descriptive narrative literature review based on national and international journal articles addressing construction project cost, project duration, time–cost trade-off, project delays, and schedule acceleration methods. The findings indicate that cost–time relationships are primarily analyzed using the Critical Path Method (CPM), Time–Cost Trade-Off (TCTO), and Earned Value Management (EVM), each supporting different stages of project planning, optimization, and performance monitoring. The review also identifies design changes, material delivery delays, inadequate project management, and inaccurate cost estimation as the dominant factors influencing project cost and schedule performance. The study concludes that integrated cost and time control from project planning through implementation is essential to improve project efficiency and achieve targeted cost and schedule objectives.

Keywords: Construction Projects, Cost Management, Project Delays, Project Duration, Time–Cost Trade-Off.



©2022 Authors.. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Construction projects are inherently temporary undertakings designed to deliver unique facilities or infrastructure within predetermined constraints of scope, cost, time, and quality, making the simultaneous management of these dimensions a fundamental requirement for project success (Project Management Institute, 2021). The classical project management framework conceptualizes cost, time, and quality as an interconnected system in which modifications to one dimension inevitably influence the others, requiring project managers to continuously balance competing objectives throughout the project life cycle (Soeharto, 1997). Contemporary construction management has expanded this traditional perspective by integrating sustainability, stakeholder collaboration, and lean construction principles, reflecting the growing complexity of modern infrastructure development under increasingly uncertain economic and environmental conditions (Adhi & Muslim, 2023). The rapid growth of construction investment across many developing and developed economies has simultaneously intensified demands for greater efficiency in project delivery, particularly regarding the optimization of cost and schedule performance without compromising technical quality. Cost and time consequently remain the most frequently monitored project indicators because they directly influence financial feasibility, contractual compliance, and organizational competitiveness (Silalahi et al., 2023). Within this context, understanding the relationship between project cost and implementation time is not merely an operational concern but also represents an essential theoretical foundation for developing more adaptive and evidence-based construction management strategies.

The relationship between project cost and implementation time has long been recognized as a dynamic trade-off in which schedule compression generally increases direct costs through additional labor, overtime, specialized equipment, or resource intensification, whereas project delays substantially

increase indirect costs associated with overhead, equipment rental, administration, and prolonged site operations (Rizal et al., 2024). Early conceptualizations of construction management emphasized that effective project control depends on balancing these opposing cost mechanisms throughout project execution rather than minimizing either variable independently (Widiasanti & Lenggogeni, 2013). More recent analytical developments have introduced increasingly sophisticated optimization approaches, including nonlinear time–cost trade-off models capable of representing practical project acceleration scenarios more realistically than conventional linear assumptions (Ballesteros-Pérez et al., 2019). Systematic evaluations of optimization research further indicate that mathematical programming, metaheuristic algorithms, and simulation-based approaches have significantly improved decision-making capabilities for balancing project duration and expenditure under complex construction environments (ElSahly et al., 2023). Emerging studies also demonstrate that dynamic system modeling enables continuous prediction of interactions between work productivity, resource allocation, cost performance, and project duration, allowing managers to evaluate multiple implementation scenarios before execution begins (Rachmawati et al., 2024). These developments collectively indicate that the analysis of cost–time relationships has evolved from deterministic scheduling toward integrated optimization frameworks capable of supporting strategic project decision-making.

Despite these methodological advances, empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that construction projects continue to experience substantial cost overruns and schedule delays across diverse geographical contexts, suggesting that theoretical optimization models remain insufficiently translated into practical project environments (Gómez-Cabrera et al., 2024). Reviews of construction risk management reveal that uncertainties originating from technical, managerial, financial, contractual, and environmental factors often interact simultaneously, creating cascading effects that conventional cost–time models inadequately capture (Agusman et al., 2021). Studies conducted in Indonesia repeatedly identify design modifications, material procurement problems, inadequate planning, weak supervision, and ineffective project coordination as dominant causes of project delays that subsequently generate significant financial consequences (Megawati, 2021). Recent national evidence similarly confirms that deficiencies in project management practices remain among the principal drivers of construction delays despite continuous improvements in engineering technologies and project management standards (Jamal & Ian, 2025). Literature syntheses also highlight recurring patterns of schedule overruns attributable to fragmented planning processes, ineffective communication, and inadequate risk anticipation across construction stakeholders (Widyawati, 2025). Existing knowledge therefore provides extensive documentation of delay factors while offering comparatively limited synthesis regarding how these factors systematically influence the interdependence between project cost and implementation time across different analytical perspectives.

Current scholarship has increasingly proposed digital technologies and integrated management approaches as mechanisms for improving cost–time performance, yet the resulting body of evidence remains conceptually fragmented because studies frequently examine isolated optimization techniques without establishing a comprehensive understanding of their theoretical relationships. Reviews of Building Information Modeling (BIM)-based construction management demonstrate considerable potential for integrating schedule, cost, quality, and safety management, although implementation outcomes remain highly dependent on organizational readiness and project characteristics (Parsamehr et al., 2023). Equipment management optimization has similarly evolved toward integrated models capable of simultaneously considering cost, time, and quality objectives, reflecting a transition from single-objective optimization toward multi-objective decision frameworks (Alshboul et al., 2026). Investigations employing Critical Path Method-based acceleration analyses further illustrate that project duration can often be reduced through systematic scheduling interventions, although acceleration strategies frequently require additional financial commitments that vary substantially across project contexts (Yaqin et al., 2023). Case-based studies on project cost and time control also emphasize that monitoring mechanisms alone are insufficient unless supported by proactive managerial decision-making capable of responding rapidly to implementation deviations (Ali et al., 2022). Parallel literature concerning cash flow management indicates that financial planning significantly influences the feasibility of schedule implementation, suggesting that economic control should be considered an integral component of cost–time analysis rather than an independent managerial activity (Salim, 2023). The diversity of these perspectives illustrates an important opportunity for synthesizing contemporary

evidence into a coherent conceptual framework capable of explaining the multidimensional relationship between construction cost and project duration.

A further limitation within existing literature concerns the predominance of discipline-specific investigations that separately discuss delay factors, cost overruns, scheduling techniques, optimization algorithms, or stakeholder management without critically integrating these strands into a unified understanding of cost–time relationships. Research addressing project success has consistently emphasized that cost, quality, and schedule performance should be interpreted as mutually dependent indicators rather than isolated project outcomes (Silalahi et al., 2023). Investigations of stakeholder engagement likewise suggest that collaborative governance substantially influences project efficiency, although its implications for cost–time interaction remain insufficiently synthesized within broader construction management literature (Adhi & Muslim, 2023). Evidence concerning cost overruns increasingly identifies organizational, contractual, and resource-related factors as interconnected determinants whose cumulative impacts cannot be adequately explained through linear analytical models alone (Zalukhu et al., 2024). Findings derived from earned value applications further demonstrate that deviations in schedule performance provide meaningful signals of future cost performance, indicating the necessity of integrating monitoring methodologies with broader theoretical interpretations of project dynamics (Nugroho et al., 2019). Literature reviews focusing on construction delay management similarly argue that fragmented knowledge limits the development of comprehensive project control strategies capable of balancing efficiency and resilience simultaneously (Hapsari, 2024). Addressing this fragmentation requires a systematic literature review that critically synthesizes concepts, analytical methods, and influencing factors into a consolidated body of knowledge that can guide future theoretical development and professional practice.

This study positions itself within the contemporary construction management literature by conducting a comprehensive literature review that systematically analyzes the conceptual foundations of cost–time relationships, identifies the principal analytical approaches employed in previous studies, and synthesizes the dominant factors influencing cost and schedule performance in construction projects. The study seeks to clarify how existing knowledge has evolved, where important conceptual inconsistencies remain, and which research directions deserve greater scholarly attention in future investigations. From a theoretical perspective, this review contributes by integrating fragmented discussions concerning project control, optimization methods, and delay determinants into a coherent analytical framework for understanding cost–time interactions. From a methodological perspective, the study provides a structured synthesis that facilitates comparison among diverse analytical approaches employed across the construction management literature. The findings are expected to provide an evidence-based reference for researchers seeking to advance construction management theory while simultaneously supporting practitioners in developing more effective strategies for balancing project cost and implementation time.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed a non-empirical research design using a descriptive narrative literature review to synthesize theoretical concepts, analytical approaches, and previous research findings concerning the relationship between cost and time in construction project implementation. The conceptual framework was developed by integrating the classical project management perspective on the cost–time relationship with contemporary developments in construction management to provide a comprehensive analytical basis for the review (Project Management Institute, 2021). The literature search was conducted using national and international scientific databases, including Garuda, institutional journal portals, ScienceDirect, and ResearchGate, by applying combinations of keywords such as *construction project cost and time*, *time–cost trade-off*, *crashing*, and *construction project delay*. Only recent peer-reviewed publications were included to ensure that the review reflected current theoretical developments and practical advances in construction management. The document selection process consisted of four systematic stages: identification, screening, data extraction, and narrative synthesis, using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria to improve transparency and reproducibility. Relevant information extracted from each publication included research objectives, analytical methods, optimization techniques, influencing variables, and major findings, which were subsequently organized into thematic categories for comparative analysis (ElSahly et al., 2023).

The analytical procedure adopted a qualitative comparative synthesis rather than a statistical meta-analysis because the reviewed studies differed substantially in research design, analytical methods, and reporting formats. Conceptual validation was achieved by comparing findings across multiple authoritative sources to identify recurring patterns, methodological consistencies, and conflicting evidence related to cost–time relationships in construction projects. The analysis focused on three principal dimensions: the conceptual understanding of cost–time interactions, the analytical methods used to evaluate these interactions, and the dominant factors influencing project cost and schedule performance (Gómez-Cabrera et al., 2024). Comparative evaluation was then performed to examine the strengths, limitations, and practical applicability of conventional project management approaches, optimization models, and integrated construction management techniques reported in the selected literature. The consistency of the review was maintained through structured thematic classification and transparent analytical procedures, enabling the study to be replicated by future researchers. The final synthesis produced an integrated understanding of cost–time relationships while identifying unresolved research gaps and potential directions for future construction management studies (Parsamehr et al., 2023).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conceptual Foundation of Cost–Time Relationships in Construction Projects

Construction projects are governed by multiple performance dimensions that collectively determine whether project objectives are achieved within the expected scope, budget, schedule, and quality standards. Among these dimensions, cost and time occupy a central position because they directly influence contractual obligations, financial viability, and resource utilization throughout the project life cycle. Classical project management theory defines project cost as the aggregation of direct and indirect expenditures required to complete construction activities, whereas project time represents the planned duration established through logical sequencing of project tasks (Project Management Institute, 2021). Although these variables are frequently evaluated independently during project planning, evidence synthesized from the reviewed literature indicates that they operate as an interdependent system in which changes in one variable inevitably alter the performance of the other. This interdependence explains why project control requires continuous monitoring rather than isolated evaluation of individual performance indicators. The reviewed studies consistently suggest that effective construction management depends on maintaining an appropriate balance between economic efficiency and schedule reliability instead of pursuing the optimization of a single project objective.

The literature further distinguishes construction project costs into direct costs and indirect costs, each exhibiting different responses to variations in project duration. Direct costs generally include labor, construction materials, equipment operation, and subcontractor expenditures that are directly associated with project execution, whereas indirect costs comprise overhead, site administration, supervision, insurance, and other supporting expenses that continue throughout the project duration (Soeharto, 1997). This classification provides an essential theoretical basis for understanding why project acceleration frequently increases direct expenditures while project delays generate substantial growth in indirect costs. The reviewed publications also indicate that this interaction cannot be interpreted through a simple linear relationship because project characteristics, resource availability, and managerial decisions substantially influence cost behavior. Consequently, cost management should be interpreted as a dynamic process that evolves alongside schedule performance rather than as a fixed budgeting exercise established only during the planning stage. Such a perspective reinforces the necessity of integrating financial planning with project scheduling throughout construction implementation.

The conceptual synthesis also demonstrates that project duration extends beyond the measurement of calendar time because it reflects the effectiveness of planning, coordination, resource allocation, and decision-making during project execution. Previous studies consistently describe schedule performance as an indicator of managerial capability, where deviations from the baseline schedule frequently represent underlying deficiencies in planning accuracy, communication, or operational control. Widiyanti and Lenggogeni (2013) emphasized that cost, time, and quality should be managed simultaneously because improvements in one performance dimension may generate unintended consequences for the remaining project objectives. This integrated interpretation supports the transition from traditional schedule monitoring toward comprehensive project performance

management. The major conceptual elements identified in the reviewed literature are summarized in Table 1, which illustrates the principal dimensions governing cost–time relationships in construction projects.

Table 1. Conceptual Dimensions of Cost–Time Relationships in Construction Projects

Conceptual Dimension	Description	Implication for Project Performance
Direct Cost	Expenditures directly associated with construction activities	Increases during schedule acceleration
Indirect Cost	Administrative, overhead, and supporting project expenses	Increases when project duration is prolonged
Project Duration	Planned time required to complete project activities	Determines schedule performance and resource utilization
Cost–Time Relationship	Interaction between project expenditure and implementation duration	Influences project efficiency and financial performance
Integrated Project Control	Simultaneous management of cost, schedule, and quality	Improves overall project success

The conceptual categories presented in Table 1 indicate that project performance cannot be interpreted solely from absolute cost values or project duration because both dimensions continuously interact throughout construction implementation. Several reviewed studies also demonstrate that managerial decisions affecting resource allocation frequently modify both direct expenditures and project schedules simultaneously. This observation explains why project managers often encounter situations in which economically attractive decisions in the short term may reduce schedule performance or generate higher costs during later project stages. The literature therefore encourages a systems-oriented interpretation in which project variables are assessed through their reciprocal interactions rather than through isolated performance measures. Such an approach is increasingly relevant for contemporary construction projects characterized by higher technical complexity and greater uncertainty.

Recent developments in construction management have expanded the classical interpretation of cost–time relationships by incorporating digital technologies, integrated planning approaches, and collaborative management frameworks capable of improving project performance under dynamic conditions. Reviews of contemporary construction management indicate that technologies such as Building Information Modeling (BIM), digital scheduling systems, and integrated project delivery have strengthened the capacity of project teams to evaluate multiple cost–time scenarios before implementation begins (Parsamehr et al., 2023). These developments shift project management from reactive monitoring toward predictive decision-making supported by simulation and digital information exchange. The reviewed literature also highlights that technological advancement alone cannot guarantee efficient project performance without corresponding improvements in organizational coordination and stakeholder collaboration. As a result, conceptual understanding of cost–time relationships increasingly incorporates managerial capability as an integral component of project optimization rather than treating technology as an independent solution.

The literature synthesis ultimately demonstrates that the conceptual relationship between cost and time extends beyond the traditional notion of project constraints and should instead be interpreted as a multidimensional interaction influenced by technical, organizational, and strategic considerations. Contemporary studies increasingly recognize that achieving minimum project cost does not necessarily correspond to achieving the shortest project duration because both objectives are moderated by project-specific conditions and managerial decisions (Silalahi et al., 2023). This perspective strengthens the theoretical argument that effective project control requires integrated evaluation of financial performance, scheduling efficiency, and implementation quality throughout the project life cycle. The reviewed evidence also reveals a gradual transition from deterministic project management toward adaptive management approaches capable of responding to uncertainty through continuous performance evaluation. These conceptual developments provide the theoretical foundation for examining the

analytical methods used to evaluate cost–time relationships, which are discussed in the subsequent section.

Analytical Approaches for Evaluating Cost–Time Relationships

The reviewed literature indicates that the analysis of cost–time relationships has progressed from conventional scheduling techniques toward integrated optimization and performance-control approaches capable of supporting increasingly complex construction projects. Rather than relying on a single analytical framework, recent studies combine scheduling, cost estimation, and project monitoring to improve decision quality throughout the project life cycle. This evolution reflects the growing recognition that project performance is influenced by interactions among multiple variables rather than by isolated schedule or budget indicators. Systematic reviews also reveal that analytical methods are selected according to project characteristics, data availability, and managerial objectives instead of following a universally applicable procedure (ElSahly et al., 2023). The comparative synthesis suggests that each method contributes a distinct perspective for understanding the interaction between project cost and implementation time. Collectively, these approaches provide complementary rather than competing mechanisms for construction project control.

Among the conventional analytical techniques, the Critical Path Method (CPM) remains the most widely adopted scheduling approach because it identifies the sequence of critical activities that determines the minimum project completion time. The reviewed studies consistently describe CPM as an effective planning tool for identifying activities that require strict monitoring since delays occurring on the critical path directly affect overall project duration. Although the method provides a clear representation of project scheduling logic, its analytical capability remains primarily focused on time optimization and does not explicitly evaluate financial consequences arising from schedule modifications. This limitation becomes increasingly significant in projects requiring rapid decision-making under changing resource constraints. Recent applications nevertheless demonstrate that CPM continues to serve as the fundamental scheduling framework upon which more advanced optimization methods are developed (Yaqin et al., 2023). Consequently, CPM should be regarded as the initial analytical stage rather than the final solution for integrated project performance evaluation.

The development of Time–Cost Trade-Off (TCTO) models represents a substantial advancement over conventional scheduling because the method explicitly evaluates the economic implications of project acceleration strategies. Instead of assuming that shorter project durations always produce superior outcomes, TCTO identifies the duration at which total project cost reaches its optimal balance between increasing direct costs and decreasing indirect costs. Nonlinear optimization models further improve this analytical capability by accommodating practical construction conditions in which resource allocation, productivity, and activity acceleration do not follow proportional relationships (Ballesteros-Pérez et al., 2019). These developments indicate that project acceleration should be interpreted as an optimization problem rather than a simple reduction in project duration. The reviewed literature also suggests that TCTO becomes increasingly valuable in projects facing contractual deadlines or high delay penalties because it supports economically justified acceleration decisions. This perspective demonstrates that schedule optimization and financial optimization should be evaluated simultaneously during project planning.

Another widely discussed analytical framework is Earned Value Management (EVM), which integrates schedule performance and cost performance into a unified monitoring system during project implementation. Unlike CPM and TCTO, which primarily support planning and optimization, EVM focuses on measuring actual project performance against established baselines through indicators such as Planned Value, Earned Value, and Actual Cost. This integrated monitoring capability enables project managers to detect cost overruns and schedule deviations before they develop into critical project failures. Comparative evidence further indicates that EVM improves managerial responsiveness because corrective actions can be initiated while sufficient implementation flexibility still exists. The principal analytical methods identified through the reviewed literature are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of Major Analytical Methods for Cost–Time Evaluation

Method	Primary Objective	Strengths	Limitations	Typical Application
--------	-------------------	-----------	-------------	---------------------

Critical Path Method (CPM)	Identify the minimum project duration	Simple scheduling logic and critical activity identification	Limited integration with cost analysis	Project planning and scheduling
Time–Cost Trade-Off (TCTO)	Determine the optimal balance between cost and duration	Supports project acceleration decisions	Requires reliable cost and duration estimates	Project crashing and schedule optimization
Earned Value Management (EVM)	Monitor integrated cost and schedule performance	Early detection of project deviations	Depends on accurate project reporting	Project implementation and control
BIM-Based Analysis	Integrate project information for planning and monitoring	Improves coordination and visualization	High implementation requirements	Digital construction management
System Dynamics	Model dynamic interactions among project variables	Captures complex project behavior	Greater modeling complexity	Strategic project simulation

The comparison presented in Table 2 illustrates that analytical methods differ not only in computational procedures but also in their managerial objectives and implementation stages. Conventional scheduling methods provide the structural basis for project planning, whereas optimization and monitoring techniques extend analytical capability toward continuous project performance management. This distinction explains why contemporary construction projects increasingly combine several analytical approaches rather than depending on a single method. The reviewed studies consistently indicate that integrated analytical frameworks produce more comprehensive project evaluations because they simultaneously consider planning accuracy, financial performance, and implementation progress. Such integration is particularly important for projects characterized by high uncertainty and frequent operational changes.

Recent research has also introduced digital technologies and computational modeling as complementary analytical tools capable of strengthening conventional project management techniques. Reviews of BIM-based management demonstrate that digital information integration improves schedule coordination, cost estimation, and multidisciplinary communication during construction implementation (Parsamehr et al., 2023). System dynamics models similarly enable project managers to simulate interactions among productivity, resource utilization, project duration, and expenditure under different implementation scenarios, thereby supporting more adaptive planning decisions (Rachmawati et al., 2024). Equipment optimization models further extend analytical capability by incorporating cost, time, and quality objectives into a unified decision framework, reflecting the increasing adoption of multi-objective optimization in construction management (Alshboul et al., 2026). These developments indicate that analytical methods are evolving beyond deterministic calculations toward predictive and data-supported decision systems. The integration of computational approaches with established project management techniques strengthens the ability of organizations to anticipate implementation risks before they significantly affect project performance.

The comparative synthesis ultimately reveals that no single analytical method is capable of comprehensively representing the complexity of cost–time relationships in construction projects. Each approach addresses different managerial needs, ranging from schedule planning and project acceleration to performance monitoring and strategic optimization. The reviewed literature consistently recommends combining complementary analytical techniques according to project complexity, organizational capability, and information availability instead of applying standardized procedures across all construction projects. This integrated perspective aligns with the broader transition toward evidence-based project management in which analytical methods function as interconnected components of a unified decision-support system. Such findings also suggest that analytical performance remains strongly influenced by project-specific conditions, emphasizing the importance of understanding the

organizational and operational factors that shape cost–time interactions, which are examined in the following section.

Factors Influencing Cost–Time Performance in Construction Projects

The comparative review indicates that the relationship between project cost and implementation time is shaped by a combination of technical, managerial, financial, and external factors rather than by a single dominant variable. Cost overruns and schedule delays generally emerge through the interaction of multiple project conditions that accumulate throughout the implementation process. This pattern explains why projects with similar contractual values and technical specifications may experience substantially different performance outcomes. Reviews of construction management literature consistently report that planning deficiencies, ineffective coordination, resource constraints, and organizational decision-making frequently interact and amplify one another, creating cumulative impacts on both project expenditure and completion time (Gómez-Cabrera et al., 2024). The findings suggest that cost–time performance should be interpreted as the outcome of a dynamic project system in which multiple variables continuously influence implementation efficiency. Such complexity reinforces the importance of integrated project management rather than isolated corrective actions directed at individual project activities.

Planning quality represents one of the most influential determinants of cost–time performance because early project decisions establish the baseline for resource allocation, scheduling logic, and financial estimation. Inaccurate duration estimates, unrealistic productivity assumptions, and incomplete risk identification frequently generate implementation deviations that become progressively more difficult to correct during later project stages. Literature concerning construction risk management emphasizes that uncertainty introduced during project planning often propagates throughout execution, affecting procurement, labor productivity, equipment utilization, and financial performance simultaneously (Agusman et al., 2021). These observations indicate that planning should be viewed as a strategic process for reducing uncertainty rather than merely producing a project schedule. Effective front-end planning therefore contributes not only to schedule reliability but also to improved cost predictability throughout project implementation. The reviewed evidence consistently associates higher planning quality with greater project resilience under changing construction conditions.

Managerial and organizational factors also emerge as dominant determinants of cost–time performance across the reviewed studies. Weak coordination among project stakeholders, ineffective communication, delayed decision-making, and inadequate supervision frequently reduce implementation efficiency even when technical planning has been completed appropriately. Recent investigations conducted in Indonesia identify owner-initiated design revisions, insufficient project management capability, and ineffective organizational coordination as recurring causes of schedule delays that subsequently increase project expenditure (Jamal & Ian, 2025). Similar observations are reported in studies examining building construction projects, where managerial deficiencies contribute more significantly to implementation delays than purely technical constraints (Megawati, 2021). These findings indicate that managerial capability should be considered a strategic project resource capable of influencing both operational productivity and financial performance. The literature consequently supports expanding project control beyond technical monitoring toward organizational governance and collaborative decision-making.

Resource availability and external conditions constitute another group of factors that substantially influence cost–time interactions during construction implementation. Material procurement delays, labor shortages, equipment availability, fluctuating market prices, adverse weather conditions, and regulatory processes frequently disrupt project schedules while simultaneously increasing operational expenditures. Financial studies further indicate that ineffective cash flow management may reduce contractor liquidity, delaying procurement activities and weakening overall implementation performance (Salim, 2023). The principal categories of influencing factors identified through the reviewed literature are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Major Factors Affecting Cost–Time Relationships in Construction Projects

Factor Category	Representative Factors	Impact on Cost	Impact on Time
------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Planning	Inaccurate estimates, incomplete scheduling	Cost overruns	Schedule deviation
Design	Scope changes, drawing revisions	Additional work and rework	Activity disruption
Resources	Material, labor, equipment availability	Increased operational costs	Delayed execution
Managerial	Coordination, supervision, decision-making	Reduced efficiency	Project delay
Financial	Cash flow constraints, payment delays	Budget escalation	Procurement delay
External	Weather, regulations, social conditions	Additional contingency costs	Interrupted activities

The synthesis presented in Table 3 demonstrates that project performance is rarely influenced by isolated factors because most implementation challenges occur through interactions among planning, managerial, financial, and external conditions. For example, material shortages may originate from procurement delays associated with cash flow problems, while design revisions frequently require additional labor, equipment, and project coordination. This interdependence explains why corrective actions focusing on individual project components often fail to eliminate recurring schedule and cost problems. The reviewed studies consistently recommend integrated risk identification capable of recognizing relationships among multiple project variables before implementation begins. Such findings strengthen the argument that successful project management depends on understanding the systemic nature of construction performance rather than treating project risks independently.

Recent literature also emphasizes that stakeholder collaboration and technological integration can moderate many of the factors responsible for declining cost–time performance. Lean construction principles encourage stronger coordination among project participants, enabling faster information exchange and more efficient resource utilization throughout project implementation (Adhi & Muslim, 2023). Reviews of delay management similarly indicate that proactive managerial intervention, continuous monitoring, and structured communication reduce the likelihood that localized implementation problems will escalate into major project disruptions (Hapsari, 2024). Digital project management platforms further enhance transparency by allowing project teams to monitor schedule progress, financial performance, and operational risks simultaneously. These developments indicate that improvements in project performance increasingly depend on organizational integration rather than solely on technical optimization methods. The literature therefore portrays stakeholder engagement and digital coordination as complementary mechanisms supporting more effective cost–time control.

The comparative synthesis ultimately demonstrates that the factors influencing cost–time relationships extend beyond traditional technical constraints and encompass organizational capability, financial management, stakeholder interaction, and external uncertainty. Studies investigating construction cost overruns consistently conclude that budget escalation rarely results from a single event but instead develops through the accumulation of interconnected implementation problems (Zalukhu et al., 2024). Similar evidence from project performance studies indicates that achieving balanced outcomes requires simultaneous attention to cost, schedule, and quality objectives rather than prioritizing one dimension at the expense of another (Silalahi et al., 2023). This multidimensional interpretation supports the transition from reactive project control toward preventive management strategies capable of anticipating implementation risks before they significantly affect project performance. The findings also provide a practical foundation for formulating integrated project management strategies that combine planning accuracy, continuous monitoring, stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive decision-making to improve construction project outcomes.

Practical Implications for Construction Project Management and Future Research

The synthesized literature indicates that effective management of cost–time relationships requires an integrated project control strategy extending from project initiation to completion rather than isolated interventions during the execution stage. Project planning should incorporate realistic scheduling, reliable cost estimation, systematic risk identification, and resource planning within a unified management framework to reduce the probability of implementation deviations. This

perspective reflects a shift from conventional project control toward proactive management, where potential disruptions are anticipated before they influence project performance. International project management standards similarly emphasize that project success depends on continuous alignment between planning, execution, monitoring, and organizational decision-making rather than on compliance with predetermined schedules alone (Project Management Institute, 2021). The reviewed evidence suggests that organizations adopting integrated planning practices generally demonstrate greater resilience when responding to technical and managerial uncertainties. Such findings reinforce the importance of embedding cost–time management into the broader governance structure of construction projects.

One practical implication emerging from the reviewed studies concerns the selection of analytical methods according to project characteristics instead of relying on standardized project control procedures. Conventional scheduling techniques remain valuable for establishing project baselines, while optimization methods and performance monitoring tools provide complementary support during implementation and project evaluation. Case studies examining project cost and schedule control demonstrate that continuous monitoring enables earlier identification of performance deviations, allowing corrective actions to be implemented before delays and cost overruns become increasingly difficult to manage (Ali et al., 2022). This integrated application of planning and monitoring techniques encourages more adaptive managerial decision-making under changing project conditions. Project managers therefore benefit from combining multiple analytical approaches according to project complexity, contractual requirements, and information availability. The practical value of this integrated perspective extends beyond technical optimization by improving the quality of strategic project management.

Digital transformation has also become a significant driver of improvements in cost–time performance because computational technologies support more accurate planning, simulation, coordination, and project monitoring. Recent studies demonstrate that optimization models integrating equipment allocation, scheduling, and quality management enable project teams to evaluate multiple implementation alternatives before construction activities begin (Alshboul et al., 2026). Reviews of optimization research likewise indicate that simulation-based decision support improves the capability of managers to balance project duration and expenditure under uncertain implementation conditions (ElSahly et al., 2023). The principal managerial implications identified through the literature synthesis are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Practical Implications for Construction Project Cost–Time Management

Management Aspect	Practical Recommendation	Expected Benefit
Project Planning	Integrate cost estimation, scheduling, and risk assessment	Improved planning accuracy
Project Monitoring	Apply continuous cost and schedule performance evaluation	Early identification of project deviations
Resource Management	Optimize labor, equipment, and material allocation	Higher operational efficiency
Stakeholder Coordination	Strengthen communication among project participants	Faster decision-making and reduced conflicts
Digital Technology	Utilize BIM, simulation, and optimization tools	Better prediction of project performance

The implications summarized in Table 4 illustrate that improvements in project performance depend on the simultaneous implementation of organizational, technical, and technological strategies rather than isolated managerial actions. Strengthening only one aspect of project management is unlikely to produce sustainable improvements when planning deficiencies, coordination problems, and resource limitations continue to exist. The reviewed literature consistently supports integrated project control systems capable of linking planning, execution, monitoring, and organizational communication into a continuous management cycle. Such an approach enhances managerial responsiveness while reducing the cumulative effects of implementation uncertainty. These findings indicate that project efficiency is increasingly determined by the quality of management integration rather than by technical capability alone.

Another important implication concerns stakeholder collaboration as a prerequisite for maintaining balanced cost and schedule performance throughout project implementation. Construction projects involve owners, consultants, contractors, suppliers, and regulatory institutions whose decisions collectively influence implementation efficiency and project outcomes. Research on stakeholder engagement demonstrates that collaborative planning and lean construction principles improve communication quality, reduce unnecessary process interruptions, and support more effective allocation of project resources (Adhi & Muslim, 2023). Similar observations have been reported in studies examining construction delay management, where ineffective coordination frequently amplifies technical problems that could otherwise be resolved through timely organizational responses (Hapsari, 2024). These findings suggest that organizational collaboration should be considered an operational component of project control rather than an administrative activity conducted independently from technical management. Strengthening communication mechanisms therefore contributes directly to improving cost–time performance.

The literature review also identifies several opportunities for future research that may strengthen current knowledge regarding cost–time relationships in construction management. Existing studies predominantly evaluate planning techniques, optimization models, or delay factors independently, while relatively limited attention has been devoted to integrating these dimensions within unified analytical frameworks capable of representing complex project environments. Emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, digital twins, predictive analytics, and machine learning, present promising opportunities for developing more adaptive decision-support systems that continuously evaluate project performance under changing implementation conditions. Comparative investigations involving different project types, procurement systems, and regional construction environments would also contribute to improving the external validity of existing theoretical models. Expanding interdisciplinary research that combines project management, data analytics, and digital engineering is expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding of cost–time interactions while supporting the development of more resilient and evidence-based construction management practices.

CONCLUSION

This literature review demonstrates that the relationship between cost and time in construction project implementation is fundamentally characterized by a trade-off mechanism in which reducing project duration generally increases direct costs, while project delays contribute to the accumulation of indirect costs and reduce overall project efficiency. The reviewed studies consistently indicate that cost and time should not be managed as independent project variables because both interact dynamically throughout the project life cycle and collectively influence project performance. The synthesis also reveals that Critical Path Method (CPM), Time–Cost Trade-Off (TCTO), and Earned Value Management (EVM) represent complementary analytical approaches that support different stages of project management, including planning, schedule optimization, and performance monitoring. In addition, the literature identifies planning quality, design modifications, resource availability, managerial capability, financial conditions, and external influences as the principal factors affecting cost–time performance, with managerial deficiencies and design changes emerging as the most frequently reported causes of project delays. These findings reinforce the importance of adopting an integrated project management perspective that simultaneously considers scheduling, financial control, and organizational coordination. The review therefore provides a consolidated conceptual understanding that can support both academic inquiry and practical decision-making in construction project management.

The findings further suggest that improving construction project performance requires the implementation of integrated cost and schedule control systems beginning at the planning stage and continuing throughout project execution. Combining appropriate analytical methods with proactive risk management, effective stakeholder collaboration, and digital project management technologies can enhance decision-making quality while reducing the likelihood of cost overruns and schedule delays. Although this review provides a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge, its conclusions are limited by the characteristics of the reviewed literature and do not include empirical validation using primary project data. Future research is therefore encouraged to conduct empirical investigations involving real construction projects to verify the conceptual relationships identified in this review under different project types and organizational settings. Comparative studies incorporating emerging digital

technologies, predictive analytics, and artificial intelligence may also contribute to the development of more adaptive and evidence-based models for cost–time optimization. Such research would strengthen the practical applicability of construction management theory while supporting the continuous improvement of project performance in increasingly complex construction environments.

REFERENCES

- Adhi, A. B., & Muslim, F. (2023). Development of stakeholder engagement strategies to improve sustainable construction implementation based on lean construction principles in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 6053. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15076053>
- Agusman, A., Prasetya, H. B., & Purba, H. H. (2021). Tinjauan dan analisis risiko dalam proyek konstruksi bangunan: studi literatur. *Jurnal Teknologi dan Manajemen*, 19(2), 95-106. <https://doi.org/10.52330/jtm.v19i2.29>
- Ali, A. H., Abdalla, T. A., Al Haqq, A. W. W. A. L., Umar, A. D. A. M., Mouhoumed, I. H., & Mohmed, I. R. (2022). Cost and time control in construction projects: a case study of Khartoum state. *Jilin Daxue Xuebao (Gongxueban)/Journal of Jilin University (Engineering and Technology Edition)*, 41(11-2022). <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/DFQ64>
- Alshboul, O., Al-Shboul, K., Shehadeh, A., & Tatari, O. (2026). Advancing equipment management for construction: introducing a new model for cost, time and quality optimization. *Construction Innovation*, 26(4), 1191-1217. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-04-2024-0129>
- Ballesteros-Pérez, P., Elamrousy, K. M., & González-Cruz, M. C. (2019). Non-Linear Time-Cost Trade-Off Models of Activity Crashing: Application to Construction Scheduling and Project Compression with Fast-Tracking. *Automation in Construction*, 97, 229-240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.11.001>
- ElSahly, O. M., Ahmed, S., & Abdelfatah, A. (2023). Systematic review of the time-cost optimization models in construction management. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 5578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15065578>
- Gómez-Cabrera, A., Gutierrez-Bucheli, L., & Muñoz, S. (2024). Causes of time and cost overruns in construction projects: a scoping review. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 24(10), 1107-1125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2023.2252288>
- Hapsari, M. A. (2024). Studi Literatur: Peran Manajemen Konstruksi Dalam Penanganan Keterlambatan Proyek Konstruksi. *Journal of Disaster Mitigation and Civil Engineering Research*, 2(2), 13-20. <https://doi.org/10.29080/jomcer.v2i2.2346>
- Jamal, J., & Ian, M. R. (2025). Analisis faktor penyebab keterlambatan proyek konstruksi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Kajian Teknik Sipil*, 10(1), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.52447/jkts.v10i1.8071>
- Megawati, L. A. (2021). Analisis faktor keterlambatan proyek konstruksi bangunan gedung. *Jurnal Teknik| Majalah Ilmiah Fakultas Teknik UNPAK*, 21(2). <https://doi.org/10.33751/teknik.v21i2.3282>
- Nugroho, W. A., Yakin, K., Octaviani, M., & Weking, H. (2019). Analisis pengaruh keterlambatan waktu terhadap biaya menggunakan earned value concept (pada proyek jetty di Pulau Waibalun, Flores Timur). *Narotama Jurnal Teknik Sipil (NJTS)*, 3(1), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.31090/njts.v3i1.847>
- Parsamehr, M., Perera, U. S., Dodanwala, T. C., Perera, P., & Ruparathna, R. (2023). A review of construction management challenges and BIM-based solutions: perspectives from the schedule, cost, quality, and safety management. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 24(1), 353-389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-022-00501-4>
- Project Management Institute. (2021). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK guide)* (7th ed.). PMI.
- Rachmawati, F., Mudjahidin, M., & Dewi Widowati, E. (2024). Work rate modeling of building construction projects using system dynamic to optimize project cost and time performance. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 24(2), 213-225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2022.2122265>
- Rizal, A. H., Fay, S., & Krisnayanti, D. S. (2024). Pengaruh biaya terhadap penyebab keterlambatan penyelesaian proyek konstruksi bendungan. *Jurnal Teknik Sipil*, 13(1), 55–66. <https://sipil.ejournal.web.id/index.php/jts/article/view/851>

- Salim, R. N. (2023). Pengaruh Analisis Arus Kas dalam Proyek Konstruksi: Tinjauan Literatur Sistematis. *Journal of Sustainable Construction*, 3(1), 32-40. <https://doi.org/10.26593/josc.v3i1.6961>
- Silalahi, Y. I., Masthura, L., & Fahriana, N. (2023). Analisis faktor-faktor penentu keberhasilan proyek konstruksi berdasarkan mutu, biaya dan waktu. *Jurnal Komposit: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Teknik Sipil*, 7(2), 233-240. <https://doi.org/10.32832/komposit.v7i2.14240>
- Soeharto, I. (1997). *Manajemen Proyek Dari Konseptual Sampai Operasional*. Erlangga.
- Widiasanti, I., & Lenggogeni. (2013). *Manajemen Konstruksi*. PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Widyawati, N. E. (2025). Analisis Faktor-Faktor Penyebab Keterlambatan Proyek Konstruksi Berdasarkan Studi Literatur. *Jurnal TeKLA*, 7(2), 63-74. <https://doi.org/10.35314/tekla.v7.i2.1329>
- Yaqin, H. N., Tjendani, H. T., & Witjaksana, B. (2023). Analysis of The Acceleration of Time and Cost of Implementing Building Construction Projects Using The Critical Path Method (CPM) Method. *Devotion: Journal of Research and Community Service*, 4(2), 336-346.
- Zalukhu, A. E., Zebua, D., Lase, C. A., Harefa, F. N., Zebua, F. D., & Loi, A. (2024). Analisis faktor penyebab pembengkakan biaya pada proyek konstruksi. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi, Pendidikan dan Teknik*, 1(1), 38-47. <https://doi.org/10.70134/identik.v1i1.242>