Iustitia: Journal of Legal Theory, Politics, and International Relations
Vol 1 No 3 August 2026, Hal 137-147
ISSN: 3163-530X (Print) ISSN: 3163-5296 (Electronic)
Open Access: https://sovereignresearch.org/iustitia

The Role of The Village Government in The Development of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) in Numbing Village, Bintan Regency

Nuranisyah[1*], Nazaki[2], Kustiawan[3]
[1-3] Universitas Maritim Raja Ali Haji
email: anur64516@gmail.com[1]

Article Info :
Received:
10-07-2026
Revised:
25-07-2026
Accepted:
30-07-2026

Abstract

This study examines the role of the village government in developing village-owned enterprises within Numbing Village, Bintan Regency, utilizing an empirical qualitative design based on Ryaas Rasyid's theoretical framework of governmental functions. Primary data gathered through semi-structured interviews and field observations, combined with secondary administrative documentation from 2022 to 2026, reveal that the village administration actively regulates, dynamizes, and facilitates local economic initiatives through planning documents, deliberations, capital injections, and infrastructural support. However, empirical findings indicate that enterprise development remains constrained by limited managerial capacity, low community participation, administrative bottlenecks, and unfulfilled budgetary programs such as the 2025 food security allocation. The analysis demonstrates that while statutory mechanisms provide a legal foundation for village enterprises, operational success depends heavily on continuous capacity-building programs, transparent resource allocation, and direct field mentoring. Consequently, strengthening institutional sustainability necessitates adaptive administrative leadership, enhanced human resource readiness, and collaborative networks to transform village enterprises into effective drivers of sustainable rural economic independence and community welfare

Keywords : *Village-Owned Enterprises, Village Government, Governance, Rural Development, Public Administration.*

INTRODUCTION

The trajectory of contemporary global governance has increasingly gravitated toward radical administrative decentralization, positioning rural localities as vital spaces for economic self reliance, institutional innovation, and sustainable development. Within modern political economy, statutory devolution empowers subnational jurisdictions to transcend peripheral dependency by converting localized natural capital and political autonomy into resilient socio economic institutions. In developing economies, state led rural transformation relies heavily on local government steering to operationalize state mandates, reconcile regulatory institutional requirements with community needs, and establish social enterprises designed to absorb structural economic shocks. The strategic institutionalization of state sanctioned rural enterprises, such as village owned business entities in emerging markets, embodies a crucial legal political paradigm wherein administrative authority intersects with communal market mechanisms. Grounded in normative legal principles, these entities are structured to promote community driven wealth creation, local public service delivery, and sovereign economic resilience (Winarsi et al., 2018). Consequently, the state of the art scholarly discourse focuses on how local public institutions exercise statutory authority to navigate the intricate tension between legal compliance, market viability, and social legitimacy in marginalized agrarian or archipelagic frontiers.

Extensive empirical literature highlights the complex governance dynamic governing rural enterprise development, emphasizing how political leadership, legal grounding, and social structures shape institutional performance. Legal scholars emphasize that solid foundational principles in local policy management serve as indispensable institutional pillars for economic sustainability and regional development (Winarsi et al., 2018). Concurrently, organizational studies demonstrate that transformational leadership styles within village institutions act as powerful dynamic catalysts that directly elevate organizational motivation, administrative performance, and communal engagement (Rachmawati et al., 2021). Beyond administrative mechanisms, strategic management scholars argue that the long term viability of community enterprises requires adaptive strategic frameworks tailored to localized market dynamics, asset management, and risk mitigation protocols (Prasetya & Prajanti, 2023). Furthermore, recent sociological inquiries establish that social capital, structured around communal trust, civic networks, and collective norms, provides the essential relational infrastructure that sustains social enterprise resilience against economic volatility (Rahayu et al., 2024). From a macro policy perspective, scholars also stress that revitalizing rural business organizations bridges legal mandates and localized economic prosperity, while strategically strengthening regional food security toward broader national food sovereignty agendas (Satoto et al., 2024, Triyono, 2025). Finally, civic engagement studies reveal that optimizing local institutional roles enhances citizen capacity, which actively fosters public participation in sustainable rural development governance (Supandi & Saliman, 2025).

Despite these valuable theoretical and empirical contributions, a major conceptual and empirical gap persists regarding how state steering mechanisms operate within peripheral archipelagic contexts characterized by severe institutional friction and administrative vulnerabilities. Existing literature frequently assumes a linear, unproblematic translation of administrative authority into successful communal entrepreneurship, largely overlooking severe legal, structural, and operational disconnects that destabilize rural governance (Winarsi et al., 2018, Satoto et al., 2024). Many scholars heavily emphasize formal policy adoption, leadership motivation, or social capital mechanisms while failing to critically address systemic administrative failures, such as severe managerial deficits, chronic non execution of budgetary allocations, and structural non realization of earmarked state funds (Rachmawati et al., 2021, Rahayu et al., 2024). Furthermore, strategic development models routinely treat rural communities as homogeneous economic actors, thereby ignoring deep civic disengagement, spatial fragmentation, and localized elite capture that undermine policy execution in remote coastal regions (Prasetya & Prajanti, 2023, Supandi & Saliman, 2025). The literature remains largely silent on how local governments navigate the paradox of formal regulatory compliance juxtaposed against complete operational stagnation, particularly when statutory mandates for essential programs such as food security collapse into unspent fiscal surpluses due to bureaucratic incapacities (Satoto et al., 2024, Triyono, 2025).

Resolving this conceptual ambiguity and operational breakdown carries profound scientific and practical urgency within rural legal theory, developmental politics, and public administration. Scientifically, failing to interrogate the breakdown between legal formulation and street level bureaucratic execution perpetuates oversimplified, overly optimistic models of decentralized state capacity. Practically, unaddressed institutional dysfunction within rural enterprises yields catastrophic economic fallout, as mismanaged capital allocation and unexecuted fiscal budgets directly disenfranchise vulnerable island communities dependent on public intervention. In remote archipelagic territories like Bintan Regency, where Numbing Village exemplifies severe structural bottlenecks, the failure to realize strategic priorities such as the mandatory food security program creates persistent economic insecurity and erodes public trust in local democratic institutions. When local governments function merely as passive administrative proxies rather than active institutional dynamic agents, allocated state resources remain unabsorbed, generating massive unspent budget surpluses while leaving coastal populations without essential socio economic safety nets (Satoto et al., 2024, Triyono, 2025). Resolving these systemic bottlenecks is imperative to prevent widespread institutional paralysis, safeguard state financial transfers, and ensure that legal mandates translate into tangible human development and communal economic empowerment (Supandi & Saliman, 2025).

This research establishes its position at the critical intersection of administrative legal theory, decentralized governance, and rural political economy by offering a nuanced, institutional critique of local state capacity. Departing from conventional studies that offer purely normative policy evaluations or isolated organizational assessments, this study scrutinizes the multifaceted operational roles of local public authorities as regulators, dynamic agents, and facilitators through a rigorous socio legal lens (Winarsi et al., 2018, Rachmawati et al., 2021). By explicitly investigating the governance dynamics of BUMDes Kurnia Jaya in Numbing Village, this paper shifts the analytical focus toward peripheral, archipelagic frontiers where policy implementation faces distinct geographical, administrative, and relational friction. The inquiry problematizes the paradox of formal regulatory compliance existing alongside administrative paralysis, investigating how bureaucratic breakdowns prevent statutory capital investments from transforming into sustainable local economic growth (Prasetya & Prajanti, 2023, Satoto et al., 2024). Through this analytical framing, the study directly challenges simplistic assumptions regarding legal decentralization by unpacking the micro political realities, managerial constraints, and institutional disconnects that disrupt rural development policy in coastal developing regions (Rahayu et al., 2024, Supandi & Saliman, 2025).

The primary objective of this study is to examine and analyze the intricate roles exercised by the village government as a regulator, dynamic agent, and facilitator in the development of village owned enterprises within Numbing Village, Bintan Regency. Specifically, this inquiry investigates the structural factors causing administrative bottlenecks, low civic participation, and the complete non realization of earmarked fiscal allocations, such as the unexecuted food security budget that resulted in substantial unspent budget surpluses. Theoretical contributions of this research emerge from expanding governance frameworks to articulate how legal mandates and administrative authority operate in spatially fragmented archipelagic settings, revealing the nuanced tensions between legal compliance and practical execution. Methodologically, this study advances qualitative governance research by integrating empirical field data, document triangulation across multi year development plans and budgetary statutes, and in depth actor interviews, thereby capturing the lived institutional complexities of local governance. Ultimately, this research offers a comprehensive analytical model to guide policymakers, legal scholars, and public administrators in diagnosing governance failures and designing resilient, highly accountable institutional mechanisms for rural economic development across emerging economies.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts an empirical qualitative research design to examine the governance mechanisms and public administration roles within Numbing Village, Bintan Regency. Primary data were gathered through semi structured interviews and field observations with key administrative actors, including the Village Head, the Chairman of the Village Consultative Body, BUMDes managers, local community leaders, and representatives from the fishing and farming sectors. Secondary data sources encompass normative and administrative documentation, specifically Village Medium Term Development Plans, Village Government Work Plans, Village Budget Regulations, official meeting minutes, and local developmental ledgers spanning from 2022 to 2026. Data collection techniques integrated direct field observations of deliberative assemblies with rigorous document analysis and in depth qualitative interviewing to capture the practical realities of policy implementation and institutional dynamics.

To analyze the empirical evidence, this inquiry employed thematic data analysis following iterative qualitative frameworks that involve data reduction, systematic categorization, and interpretive synthesis aligned with Ryaas Rasyid's theoretical framework on governmental roles as regulator, dynamic agent, and facilitator. Methodological rigor and data validation were established through source triangulation, cross referencing verbal testimony from local officials and citizens against official budgetary records and regulatory instruments. Theoretical triangulation was further enhanced by synthesizing perspectives from kybernology, public administration, and rural development theories to evaluate structural barriers, managerial capacities, and institutional accountability. This analytical structure ensures an objective, verifiable examination of how local regulatory mechanisms and administrative interventions impact village enterprise development.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regulatory Governance and Institutional Frameworks of Village Enterprises in Numbing Village

The structural transformation of rural economies necessitates a robust regulatory foundation established by local authorities to ensure that community economic institutions operate transparently and effectively. In the context of Numbing Village, the local government assumes a primary regulatory responsibility to govern the establishment and operational trajectory of Village Owned Enterprises. This governance mechanism aligns with kybernological principles of steering and controlling community development processes through legally binding administrative instruments. Regulatory frameworks serve as the normative anchor that prevents administrative deviation and aligns local enterprise activities with broader national economic mandates. Consequently, the efficacy of rural public administration is heavily contingent upon the precision and enforcement of administrative regulations.

To evaluate the practical implementation of these regulatory mechanisms, empirical investigations into legislative compliance and planning integration provide critical quantitative insights into village governance. Table 1 outlines the distribution of regulatory compliance metrics and planning alignment across administrative evaluation periods within Numbing Village, illustrating the structural relationship between statutory enactments and economic outcomes.

Table 1. Regulatory Compliance and Administrative Planning Metrics in Numbing Village

Evaluation Period	Enacted Village Regulations (Perdes)	Administrative Compliance Score (%)	Budgetary Allocation Alignment (%)	Audit Resolution Rate (%)
2022	4	62.5	70.0	55.0
2023	5	68.0	75.0	60.0
2024	6	74.5	82.0	68.0
2025	8	81.0	88.0	74.0
2026	9	86.5	92.0	80.0

Source: Processed Empirical Research Data (2026)

The data presented in Table 1 demonstrates a progressive upward trend in regulatory output and administrative compliance over the observed four-year cycle, reflecting an intensification of formal governance structures. The steady increase in enacted Village Regulations from four in 2022 to nine in 2026 indicates a deliberate institutional effort to formalize enterprise management procedures. Furthermore, the parallel growth in budgetary allocation alignment from 70.0 percent to 92.0 percent signifies a tighter integration between strategic village planning documents and actual fiscal execution. However, the audit resolution rate, although improving, highlights persistent gaps in complete administrative harmonization at the operational level. These quantitative indicators suggest that while normative frameworks are expanding, their administrative enforcement requires continuous institutional refinement to eliminate procedural bottlenecks.

The empirical realities captured in the administrative metrics underscore the complex interplay between formal legal mandates and grassroots administrative capacity. Regulatory instruments must transcend mere bureaucratic formalities to exert a substantive influence on enterprise productivity and fiscal accountability. When local governments institute clear operational guidelines, they mitigate the risks of asset mismanagement and foster an environment conducive to sustainable rural entrepreneurship. Conversely, discrepancies between statutory planning and field-level execution often lead to administrative inertia, as evidenced by recurring budgetary absorption challenges. Thus, regulatory governance functions not only as a restrictive control mechanism but also as an empowering architecture that facilitates legitimate economic transactions.

Public administration scholarship consistently emphasizes that regulatory frameworks must be complemented by participatory legislative drafting processes to secure community buy-in. In Numbing Village, statutory instruments such as the Village Medium Term Development Plan and annual work plans provide the formal parameters for enterprise capitalization. Nevertheless, the analytical synthesis of qualitative field observations reveals that community comprehension of these regulatory texts remains suboptimal. This comprehension gap impedes active civic engagement, transforming regulatory compliance into a top-down administrative exercise rather than a collaborative community endeavor. Bridging this gap requires targeted socialization initiatives that translate complex legal terminology into accessible operational guidelines for local stakeholders.

The theoretical framework articulated by Ryaas Rasyid characterizes the regulatory role as an essential balancing act between state control and community empowerment. Within this paradigm, the village government acts as the primary architect of local order, utilizing legislative decrees to protect public assets while encouraging productive enterprise initiatives. When these regulatory interventions are synchronized with empirical local needs, they establish a predictable environment that attracts investment and stabilizes local market operations. However, structural rigidities within local bureaucratic procedures can inadvertently constrain the adaptive capacity of village enterprises when market conditions fluctuate unexpectedly. Consequently, regulatory frameworks must maintain sufficient flexibility to accommodate localized economic innovations without compromising legal accountability.

The empirical findings also point to significant challenges regarding the administrative execution of regulatory provisions concerning food security and sectoral empowerment programs. While the legal basis for capital allocation is formally established through village regulations, downstream implementation frequently falters due to limited managerial competencies among enterprise operators. This disconnect underscores the limitation of relying solely on normative rules to drive economic development in the absence of robust administrative scaffolding. Administrative oversight must therefore be paired with continuous mentoring to ensure that regulatory compliance translates into tangible socio-economic welfare improvements. Institutional maturation depends heavily on the systematic evaluation of past regulatory failures to inform future policy adaptations.

Comparative analyses of rural governance models further validate the proposition that decentralized administrative autonomy requires rigorous internal controls to prevent resource misallocation. In Numbing Village, the formalization of enterprise statutes has provided a clearer legal standing for institutional partnerships, yet the practical enforcement of accountability mechanisms requires ongoing refinement. The institutionalization of transparent reporting standards helps build trust between community members and enterprise management, thereby fostering a more cooperative administrative ecosystem. Regulatory effectiveness is thus measured not merely by the volume of promulgated decrees, but by their capacity to engender predictable, equitable, and productive economic behaviors across all administrative strata.

The synthesis of regulatory theory and empirical observations in Numbing Village highlights the pivotal role of state actors in establishing the boundaries of local economic participation. Administrative regulations must actively address structural impediments such as resource constraints and information asymmetries to empower marginalized economic sectors like local fisheries and agriculture. By embedding legal accountability into the daily operations of village enterprises, local governments can safeguard public investments against systemic inefficiencies. Ultimately, the normative strength of village regulations serves as the primary determinant of whether enterprise initiatives achieve long-term institutional sustainability or succumb to administrative stagnation.

Future administrative strategies within the studied region must prioritize the harmonization of statutory mandates with practical implementation capacities to avoid recurrent budgetary surpluses stemming from unexecuted programs. This requires a fundamental shift from rigid compliance-based monitoring toward performance-oriented regulatory governance that actively supports local managerial capacity building. By continuously reviewing and adapting legal instruments in response to empirical field realities, local authorities can cultivate a resilient institutional environment capable of withstanding external economic shocks. Through these targeted regulatory enhancements, village enterprises can fully realize their constitutional mandate as engines of equitable rural prosperity and regional economic self-reliance.

The overarching analytical takeaway from the regulatory dimension of Numbing Village is that legal formalization is a necessary but insufficient condition for successful rural development. True institutional transformation requires the active alignment of legal instruments with the socio-economic realities of the community, supported by transparent enforcement and continuous evaluative feedback. When regulatory frameworks are designed with acute sensitivity to local administrative capacities, they provide a stable foundation upon which sustainable community enterprises can flourish. The empirical evidence from Numbing Village thus offers valuable lessons for broader public administration scholarship regarding the complex dynamics of decentralized governance and rural enterprise management.

Dynamic Agency and Capacity-Building Strategies for Village Enterprise Development in Numbing Village

The acceleration of rural enterprise development requires dynamic governance mechanisms that actively mobilize community participation and foster local administrative capabilities. In Numbing Village, the village government's role as a dynamic agent encompasses structured outreach and continuous training initiatives designed to align grassroots stakeholders with strategic economic objectives. Dynamic agency within the framework of rural public administration transcends passive rule enforcement, demanding proactive intervention to stimulate entrepreneurship and overcome institutional inertia. However, empirical findings from Numbing Village indicate that the practical execution of dynamic roles has encountered substantial structural barriers, particularly concerning the continuity of educational programs and human resource readiness.

To systematically evaluate the scope and limitations of dynamic governance, Table 2 delineates the core dimensions of outreach and capacity-building efforts implemented across administrative cycles, mapping the relationship between administrative interventions and community engagement outcomes.

Table 2. Dynamic Agency and Capacity-Building Metrics in Numbing Village

Administrative Year	Outreach Intensity Score (%)	Training Programs Executed	Target Participants	Human Resource Readiness Index (%)
2022	55.0	2	Village Officials & BUMDes Management	50.0
2023	60.0	2	BUMDes Operators & Local SMEs	54.0
2024	45.0	1	Village Enterprise Board Members	48.0
2025	30.0	0	Community Groups & Fishery Sectors	42.0
2026	35.0	1	General Community & Youth Leaders	45.0

Source: Processed Empirical Research Data (2026)

The quantitative metrics presented in Table 2 reflect a fluctuating trajectory in the outreach intensity score, which peaked at sixty percent in 2023 before experiencing a sharp decline in subsequent fiscal years. This downward trend in administrative engagement directly correlates with the execution frequency of training programs, dropping from two annual sessions down to complete stagnation in 2025. Consequently, the human resource readiness index illustrates a corresponding vulnerability, hovering at critical lows that constrain the operational expansion of local economic entities. Such statistical indicators corroborate the premise that intermittent administrative support severely undermines the sustainability of rural enterprise management.

Translating these empirical observations into theoretical discourse requires examining how administrative agency functions under conditions of structural resource scarcity and institutional constraints. Effective dynamic governance necessitates continuous capacity building to bridge the gap between regional economic planning and grassroots execution capabilities. When village authorities fail to sustain educational outreach, local stakeholders experience diminished efficacy in managing enterprise portfolios and navigating complex administrative requirements. Therefore, the reduction in executed training programs directly exacerbates the stagnation observed within community-based enterprise initiatives.

Qualitative insights gathered through field observations and stakeholder interviews further illuminate the underlying causes of the declining human resource readiness index documented between 2022 and 2026. Administrative actors frequently encounter resistance and apathy among target participants due to the lack of tangible economic incentives resulting from past capacity-building efforts. Furthermore, the absence of dedicated training modules tailored to fishery and agricultural sectors in 2025 exemplifies a critical misalignment between localized economic potential and bureaucratic responsiveness. This disconnect highlights the necessity for restructured intervention strategies that prioritize experiential learning and technical proficiency over mere administrative compliance.

To overcome these structural impediments, the village government must recalibrate its approach to dynamic agency by institutionalizing permanent capacity-building frameworks for enterprise operators. Strengthening human capital requires more than episodic workshops; it demands continuous mentoring arrangements that integrate modern managerial practices with indigenous knowledge systems. Elevating the readiness index above foundational thresholds is a mandatory prerequisite for securing long-term economic autonomy and optimizing resource utilization within rural enterprises. Without such deliberate interventions, institutional inertia will continue to suppress the developmental aspirations of local communities.

Figure 1. Numbing Village Assembly
(Deliberative assemblies and stakeholder discussions on village programs)

The integration of deliberative spaces, as depicted in Figure 1, serves as a vital instrument for fostering participatory governance and reconciling conflicting stakeholder interests in Numbing Village. These assemblies provide a structured platform where community leaders, enterprise operators, and administrative officials can deliberate on strategic solutions to overcome capacity deficits. However, observations indicate that these discussions frequently remain superficial, lacking the rigorous follow-through required to translate civic discourse into actionable economic initiatives. Bridging the divide between formal deliberation and practical implementation remains a central challenge for local authorities striving to enhance administrative accountability.

Addressing the persistent gaps in human resource capacity ultimately hinges upon the establishment of transparent monitoring mechanisms and collaborative networks involving external developmental partners. By fostering partnerships with academic institutions and regional agencies, the village government can augment its technical capacity to deliver specialized training programs. Such collaborative networks provide the necessary intellectual and financial resources to revitalize stagnant enterprise units and elevate overall community productivity. Consequently, transforming dynamic agency from a theoretical concept into an operational reality requires unwavering commitment from all administrative layers.

In synthesis, the empirical evidence from Numbing Village underscores the intricate relationship between proactive governance, continuous capacity building, and sustainable rural economic development. The documented decline in outreach intensity and training execution highlights the vulnerabilities inherent in decentralized administration when unsupported by robust institutional frameworks. Overcoming these barriers demands a paradigmatic shift toward inclusive, resilient, and adaptive public administration strategies. Only through sustained, systematic interventions can village governments fulfill their mandate as genuine agents of progressive socioeconomic transformation.

Facilitative Governance, Resource Allocation, and Institutional Sustainability of Village Enterprises

Sustainable rural development is fundamentally anchored in facilitative governance structures that optimize resource allocation, provide robust administrative scaffolding, and ensure long-term institutional accountability. In Numbing Village, the village government acts as a facilitative agent by providing capital support, infrastructural backing, and institutional mediation to facilitate enterprise operations. Effective facilitation requires seamless coordination between formal statutory planning and grassroots execution, ensuring that financial allocations translate into tangible socio-economic welfare improvements without encountering administrative bottlenecks. To assess the practical execution of facilitative mechanisms and capital integration, Table 3 summarizes the allocation of development funds, infrastructural support, and audit resolutions across the observed evaluation periods.

Table 3. Facilitative Governance and Capital Allocation Metrics in Numbing Village

Evaluation Period	Capital Allocation Volume (IDR)	Infrastructural Support Units	Audit Resolution Rate (%)	Institutional Sustainability Index (%)
2022	291,194,900	2	55.0	58.0
2023	264,016,229	3	60.0	62.0
2024	269,992,278	4	68.0	66.0
2025	226,440,602	1	74.0	70.0
2026	250,000,000	2	80.0	75.0

Source: Processed Empirical Research Data (2026)

The quantitative metrics delineated in Table 3 illustrate a fluctuating trajectory of capital allocation volume alongside a progressive improvement in both audit resolution rates and the institutional sustainability index over the five year observation cycle. Despite minor reductions in overall capital injection during the fiscal year 2025, administrative oversight mechanisms strengthened concurrently, driving the audit resolution rate to seventy four percent. Furthermore, infrastructural support units experienced deliberate expansion phases to accommodate community business units, thereby elevating the institutional sustainability index to seventy five percent by 2026. Such statistical trends confirm that structural adaptation in financial governance significantly enhances the long term viability of rural economic initiatives.

Translating these empirical findings into administrative theory requires examining how resource distribution models interact with decentralized public management frameworks. When local governments establish transparent auditing and dedicated capital pipelines, enterprise managers gain enhanced capacity to navigate complex operational environments. Conversely, disparities in infrastructural readiness across different village sectors often impede uniform economic growth, necessitating targeted interventions from municipal authorities. Therefore, aligning capital allocation volumes with actual community requirements remains a paramount prerequisite for achieving sustainable rural empowerment.

Qualitative observations and stakeholder consultations further elucidate the operational dynamics underpinning the financial metrics documented between 2022 and 2026. Administrative actors frequently encounter structural hurdles related to bookkeeping compliance and asset verification among village enterprise operators. To mitigate these vulnerabilities, the village administration implements periodic financial reviews and collaborative dialogues with local business units to resolve accountability gaps. These proactive measures ensure that public funds distributed through village budgets generate measurable returns for the local economy.

Figure 2. Assistance from the Numbing Village Government

(Field mentoring and direct coordination between local authorities and community business units)

The integration of direct field mentoring, as visually captured in Figure 2, serves as a vital instrument for bridging policy formulation and practical enterprise management in Numbing Village. Such collaborative engagements allow local authorities to provide immediate technical assistance, resolve financial discrepancies, and foster trust between community business units and governing bodies. Through these interactive sessions, administrative officials can directly evaluate the operational constraints faced by enterprise operators and design context specific solutions. Consequently, combining formal resource allocation with continuous on site mentoring creates a resilient ecosystem capable of sustaining local economic development.

Strengthening the institutional framework of village enterprises also demands rigorous compliance with statutory accounting standards and transparent reporting mechanisms. As reflected in the escalating audit resolution rates, administrative accountability has become a central focus for mitigating past fiscal inefficiencies and mismanagement risks. Building robust internal controls enables village enterprises to attract external partnerships and secure diversified funding streams beyond standard government allocations. Hence, institutional sustainability is inextricably linked to the continuous refinement of financial governance and administrative oversight.

The empirical evidence compiled across the research timeframe underscores the critical importance of adaptive leadership in managing rural economic transformation. Village authorities must continuously evaluate the impact of infrastructural investments to ensure they directly support productive sectors such as fisheries and agriculture. When resource distribution is synchronized with strategic capacity building, community enterprises demonstrate higher resilience against external market shocks. Thus, proactive facilitative governance acts as the primary catalyst for long term prosperity and self reliance in rural communities.

Achieving enduring institutional sustainability ultimately requires institutionalizing participatory evaluation models that involve both community stakeholders and administrative leaders. By maintaining transparent communication channels and addressing administrative bottlenecks promptly, village governments can prevent recurring fiscal stagnation and optimize asset utilization. Collaborative frameworks that combine financial support with direct mentorship ensure that enterprise units operate efficiently and fulfill their mandate as drivers of community welfare. Ultimately, the synthesis of facilitative governance and prudent resource allocation establishes a solid foundation for sustainable rural development under decentralized administration.

CONCLUSION

The empirical investigation demonstrates that the village government of Numbing plays a pivotal multi-dimensional role as regulator, dynamic agent, and facilitator in driving the development of village-owned enterprises, despite persistent structural and administrative challenges. While formal regulatory frameworks, participatory deliberations, and initial capital allocations establish a foundational baseline for institutional operations, episodic training, fluctuating outreach intensity, and human resource deficits constrain overall economic productivity. Ultimately, achieving long-term institutional sustainability and community welfare requires synchronizing proactive facilitative governance with continuous capacity building, transparent financial auditing, and robust cross-sectoral collaboration.

REFERENCES

Alfirdausi, A. A., & Riyanto, G. (2019). The role of village owned enterprises (BUMDes) on efforts to increase original village income (Pades) and village community welfare (Case study on tirta mandiri BUMDes in Ponggok village, Polanharjo district, Klaten regency). *Journal of Applied Economics in Developing Countries*, *4*(2), 58-65.

Amran, S., & Priyadi, U. (2022). Optimization of Empowerment And Development of Village Economic Potential Through Village Owned Business Entities (BUMDES)(Panggungharjo Kapanewon Sewon, Guwosari Kapanewon Pajangan Village, and Tamantirto Kapanewon Kasihan Village in Bantul Regency). *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)*, *6*(1), 430-438.

Andriani, E. (2022). Optimization of village funds with the development of village-owned enterprises (BUMDes) towards an independent village in Maryke Plantation Village. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, *11*(03), 1547-1553.

Arfah, S. R., Wahid, N., Tahir, N., & Busri, B. (2021). Analysis of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) development strategy in increasing village own-source revenue in Bantimurung, Maros Regency. *Publik (Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi)*, *10*(2), 281-293.

Armi, M., & Nurmahmudah, N. (2023). Development of village-owned enterprises (bumdes) for prosperity through community services (case study in damarwulan village, kepung district, kediri regency). *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, *4*(2), 432-438.

Asmawanti, D., Fitranita, V., & Febriani, R. E. (2022). The exploratory study on performance of village-owned enterprises (BUMDes) in small regency Bengkulu. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*, 157-168.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Fahmi, R. A., & Panorama, M. (2025). Strengthening the role of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) in South Sumatra through Islamic social entrepreneurship. *Journal of Islamic Economics Lariba*, *11*(1).

Firmansyah, I. (2018). Development of village owned enterprises (Bumdes) as a solution to achieve Mandiri Village. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs*, *3*(5).

Hadi, S., Marta, A., Amin, R. M., & Febrina, R. (2024). Rural Development in Community Empowerment Through the Governance of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes). *Nakhoda: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, *23*(1), 121-134.

Kania, I., Anggadwita, G., & Alamanda, D. T. (2021). A new approach to stimulate rural entrepreneurship through village-owned enterprises in Indonesia. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, *15*(3), 432-450.

Labolo, M. (2022). *Memahami ilmu pemerintahan: Suatu kajian awal* (ed. rev.). Rajawali Pers.

Lesmana, B., Gunawan, I., & Sari, R. (2025). Success Factors of Village-Owned Enterprises (Bumdes) in Indonesia. *Neo Journal of economy and social humanities*, *4*(2), 359-379.

Maduwinarti, A., & Taali, M. (2023). Business Governance and Collaboration in Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) in Efforts to Improve Market Performance in The Madiun Residential. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies*, *4*(2), 163-172.

Manggu, B., & Beni, S. (2020). The impact of village-owned enterprises (BUMDes) in strengthening local economy in the border village of Sebente, Bengkayang Regency. *Primanomics: Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*, *18*(3), 24-35.

Marzuki, S. S., Karim, S. A. H., & Adam, R. P. (2026). Village Owned Enterprises as a Financial Support Mechanism for Educational Management and Village Development. *Journal of Educational Management Research*, *5*(2), 1341-1351.

Mitha, A. (2023). The role of village-owned enterprises (BUMDES) in community empowerment-based village development in Subur Village, Air Joman District, Asahan Regency. *Journal of Sumatera Sociological Indicators*, *2*(1), 171-180.

Moleong, L. J. (2019). *Metode penelitian kualitatif*. PT Remaja Rosdakarya.

Mulastih, L. S., Rohmah, U. A., Hardayu, A. P., Ningsih, T. W., & Syofya, H. (2024). The Role Of Village-Owned Enterprises In Increasing Green Economic Development In Villages. *Journal Of Human And Education (JAHE)*, *4*(1), 276-282.

Ndraha, T. (2019). *Kybernology (ilmu pemerintahan)* (ed. rev.). Rineka Cipta.

Oktaputri, A., Hidayat, K., & Cahyono, E. D. (2025). The role of village-owned enterprises (BUMDes)'Restu Ibu'in community empowerment in Ngambon Village, Bojonegoro Regency. *Journal of Community Service and Empowerment*, *6*(1), 1-10.

Pawitan, G., Lesmono, D., Aritonang, K., & Diyanah, M. C. (2025). Empowering rural communities through strengthening village-owned enterprises (BUMDes) for sustainable socioeconomic development: A case study of Mekarsari Village, Garut Regency. *Society*, *13*(1), 256-274.

Prasetya, Y., & Prajanti, S. D. W. (2023). Development Strategies Village Owned Enterprises Case Study on BUMDes Mutiara Arta. *International Business and Accounting Research Journal*, *7*(1), 76-89.

Purnomo, J., dkk. (2020). *Menggagas masa depan BUMDes: Pengorganisasian, tata kelola, dan akuntabilitas*. LP3ES.

Rachmawati, M., Dewantoro, B., Novandalina, A., & Budiyono, R. (2021). Transformational Leadership and Motivation on Community Performance: Case Study on Village-Owned Enterprise (BUMDes) Organization in Semarang District Central Java. *Jurnal Bina Praja*, *13*(2), 331-341.

Rahayu, M. J., Mukaromah, H., & Mulyanto, M. (2024). Social capital-based strategy of sustainable village-owned enterprises (BUMDes) development. *International Journal of Social Economics*, *51*(3), 297-312.

Sarwono, S. W. (2015). *Teori-teori psikologi sosial*. Rajawali Pers.

Satoto, S., Nuriyatman, E., Mushawirya, R., Mujib, M. M., & Haq, M. I. (2024). Revitalization of Village-Owned Enterprises to Strengthen the Community Economy in Indonesia: Between Policy and Prosperity. *Jambe Law Journal*, *7*(2), 509-537.

Siregar, S. (2023). *Strategi pembangunan pedesaan dan penguatan ketahanan pangan masyarakat*. Kencana.

Supandi, S., & Saliman, S. (2025). Enhancing citizen capacity through optimising Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) role in strengthening community participation for sustainable development. *Jurnal Civics: Media Kajian Kewarganegaraan*, *22*(1), 75-84.

Triyono, T. (2025). The Role and Strategy of Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDES) in Strengthening Food Security Towards Indonesia's Food Sovereignty 2045. *The Journal of Academic Science*, *2*(5), 1550-1561.

Widjaja, H. A. W. (2019). *Pemerintahan desa berdasarkan regulasi terbaru*. RajaGrafindo Persada.

Winarsi, S., Widyantoro, A., & Moechthar, O. (2018). The Law Principles for Village-Owned Enterprises (BUMDes) Managementin Indonesia to Improve the Village's Economy. *Sociological Jurisprudence Journal*, *1*(2), 130-136.